1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL WELCH,

STATE OF VEVADA, et. al.,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

Case No. 2:11-cv-02111-MMD-VCF

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate

Defendant.

Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Gail Hewlett. No objection to the Recommendation

Judge Cam Ferenbach (dkt. no. 26) ("Recommendation") recommending dismissal of

has been filed.

٧.

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard

of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); see also Schmidt v. Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in Reyna—Tapia as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation without review. See, e.g., Johnstone, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Ferenbach's Recommendation. Upon reviewing the Recommendation and the records in this case, this Court finds good cause to adopt the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation in full.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Cam Ferenbach (dkt. no. 26) be accepted and adopted in its entirety. Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Gail Hewlett shall be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute and for lack of federal jurisdiction. The Clerk is directed to close this matter.

DATED THIS 12<sup>th</sup> day of September 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE